

Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategies for Study Design and Analysis

David A. Savitz

audiobook / *ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC

Copyrighted Material

Interpreting

Epidemiologic

Evidence

Strategies for

Study Design and Analysis

DAVID A. SAVITZ

Copyrighted Material

DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#1593706 in Books 2001-06-15 Original language: English PDF # 1 6.10 x 1.20 x 9.40l, 1.37 #File Name: 019510840X321 pages | File size: 46.Mb

David A. Savitz : Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategies for Study Design and Analysis before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategies for Study Design and Analysis:

Evaluating the strength or persuasiveness of epidemiologic evidence is inherently challenging, both for those new to the field and for experienced researchers. There are a myriad of potential biases to consider, but little guidance about how to assess the likely impact on study results. This book offers a strategy for assessing epidemiologic research findings, explicitly describing the goals and products of epidemiologic research in order to better evaluate its successes and limitations. The focus throughout is on practical tools for making optimal use of available data to assess whether hypothesized biases are operative and to anticipate concerns at the point of study design in order to ensure that needed information is generated. Specific tools for assessing the presence and impact of selection bias in both cohort and case-control studies, bias from non-response, confounding, exposure measurement error, disease measurement error, and random error are identified and evaluated. The potential value of each approach as well as its limitations are discussed, using examples from the published literature. Such information should help those who generate and interpret epidemiologic research to apply methodological principles more effectively to substantive issues, leading to a more accurate appraisal of the current evidence and greater clarity about research needs.